

NAG Fortran Library Routine Document

D03UAF

Note: before using this routine, please read the Users' Note for your implementation to check the interpretation of ***bold italicised*** terms and other implementation-dependent details.

1 Purpose

D03UAF performs at each call one iteration of the Strongly Implicit Procedure. It is used to calculate on successive calls a sequence of approximate corrections to the current estimate of the solution when solving a system of simultaneous algebraic equations for which the iterative up-date matrix is of five-point molecule form on a two-dimensional topologically-rectangular mesh. ('Topological' means that a polar grid, for example (r, θ) , can be used, being equivalent to a rectangular box.)

2 Specification

```
SUBROUTINE D03UAF(N1, N2, N1M, A, B, C, D, E, APARAM, IT, R, WRKSP1,
1                WRKSP2, IFAIL)
  INTEGER        N1, N2, N1M, IT, IFAIL
  real          A(N1M,N2), B(N1M,N2), C(N1M,N2), D(N1M,N2), E(N1M,N2),
1                APARAM, R(N1M,N2), WRKSP1(N1M,N2), WRKSP2(N1M,N2)
```

3 Description

Given a set of simultaneous equations

$$Mt = q \quad (1)$$

(which could be nonlinear) derived, for example, from a finite difference representation of a two-dimensional elliptic partial differential equation and its boundary conditions, the solution t may be obtained iteratively from a starting approximation $t^{(1)}$ by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} r^{(n)} &= q - Mt^{(n)} \\ Ms^{(n)} &= r^{(n)} \\ t^{(n+1)} &= t^{(n)} + s^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $r^{(n)}$ is the residual of the n th approximate solution $t^{(n)}$, and $s^{(n)}$ is the update change vector.

D03UAF determines the approximate change vector s corresponding to a given residual r , i.e., it determines an approximate solution to a set of equations

$$Ms = r \quad (2)$$

where r is a known vector of length $n_1 \times n_2$, and M is a square $(n_1 \times n_2)$ by $(n_1 \times n_2)$ matrix. The system (2) must be of five-diagonal form

$$a_{ij}s_{i,j-1} + b_{ij}s_{i-1,j} + c_{ij}s_{ij} + d_{ij}s_{i+1,j} + e_{ij}s_{i,j+1} = r_{ij}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2$, provided that $c_{ij} \neq 0.0$. Indeed, if $c_{ij} = 0.0$, then the equation is assumed to be

$$s_{ij} = r_{ij}.$$

For example, if $n_1 = 3$ and $n_2 = 2$, the equations take the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & d_{11} & & e_{11} & & \\ b_{21} & c_{21} & d_{21} & & e_{21} & \\ & b_{31} & c_{31} & & e_{31} & \\ a_{12} & & & c_{12} & d_{12} & \\ & a_{22} & & b_{22} & c_{22} & d_{22} \\ & & a_{32} & b_{32} & c_{32} & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} \\ s_{21} \\ s_{31} \\ s_{12} \\ s_{22} \\ s_{32} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} \\ r_{21} \\ r_{31} \\ r_{12} \\ r_{22} \\ r_{32} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The calling program supplies the current residual r at each iteration and the coefficients of the five-point molecule system of equations on which the up-date procedure is based. The routine performs one iteration, using the approximate LU factorization of the Strongly Implicit Procedure with the necessary acceleration parameter adjustment, to calculate the approximate solution s of the system (2). The change s overwrites the residual array for return to the calling program. The calling program must combine this change stored in r with the old approximation to obtain the new approximate solution for t . It must then recalculate the residuals and, if the accuracy requirements have not been satisfied, commence the next iterative cycle.

Clearly there is no requirement that the iterative up-date matrix passed in the form of the five-diagonal element arrays A, B, C, D, E is the same as that used to calculate the residuals, and therefore the one governing the problem. However the convergence may be impaired if they are not equal. Indeed, if the system of equations (1) is not precisely of the five-diagonal form illustrated above but has a few additional terms, then the methods of deferred or defect correction can be employed. The residual is calculated by the calling program using the full system of equations, but the up-date formula is based on a five-diagonal system (2) of the form given above. For example, the solution of a system of nine-diagonal equations each involving the combination of terms with $t_{i\pm 1, j\pm 1}$, $t_{i\pm 1, j}$, $t_{i, j\pm 1}$ and t_{ij} could use the five-diagonal coefficients on which to base the up-date, provided these incorporate the major features of the equations.

Problems in topologically non-rectangular regions can be solved using the routine, by surrounding the region with a circumscribing topological rectangle. The equations for the nodal values external to the region of interest are set to zero (i.e., $c_{ij} = r_{ij} = 0$) and the boundary conditions are incorporated into the equations for the appropriate nodes.

If there is no better initial approximation when starting the iterative cycle, one can use an array of all zeros as the initial approximation from which the first set of residuals are determined.

The routine can be used to solve linear elliptic equations in which case the arrays A, B, C, D, E and Q will be unchanged during the iterative cycles, or for solving nonlinear elliptic equations in which case some or all of these arrays may require updating as each new approximate solution is derived. Depending on the nonlinearity, some under-relaxation of the coefficients and/or source terms may be needed during their recalculation using the new estimates of the solution (see Ames (1977)).

The routine can also be used to solve each step of a time-dependent parabolic equation in two space dimensions. The solution at each time step can be expressed in terms of an elliptic equation if the Crank–Nicolson or other form of implicit time integration is used.

Neither diagonal dominance, nor positive definiteness, of the matrix M and the up-date matrix formed from the arrays A, B, C, D, E is necessary to ensure convergence.

For problems in which the solution is not unique, in the sense that an arbitrary constant can be added to the solution, (for example Laplace's equation with all Neumann boundary conditions), the calling program should subtract a typical nodal value from the whole solution t at every iteration to keep rounding errors to a minimum.

4 References

- Ames W F (1977) *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Engineering* (2nd Edition) Academic Press
- Jacobs D A H (1972) The strongly implicit procedure for the numerical solution of parabolic and elliptic partial differential equations *Note RD/L/N66/72* Central Electricity Research Laboratory
- Stone H L (1968) Iterative solution of implicit approximations of multi-dimensional partial differential equations *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **5** 530–558

5 Parameters

- 1: N1 – INTEGER *Input*
- On entry: the number of nodes in the first co-ordinate direction, n_1 .
- Constraint: $N1 > 1$.

- 2: N2 – INTEGER *Input*
On entry: the number of nodes in the second co-ordinate direction, n_2 .
Constraint: $N2 > 1$.
- 3: N1M – INTEGER *Input*
On entry: the first dimension of the arrays A, B, C, D, E, R, WRKSP1 and WRKSP2 as declared in the (sub)program from which D03UAF is called.
Constraint: $N1M \geq N1$.
- 4: A(N1M,N2) – **real** array *Input*
On entry: $A(i, j)$ must contain the coefficient of the ‘southerly’ term involving $s_{i,j-1}$ in the (i, j) th equation of the system (2), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, N2$. The elements of A for $j = 1$ must be zero after incorporating the boundary conditions, since they involve nodal values from outside the rectangle.
- 5: B(N1M,N2) – **real** array *Input*
On entry: $B(i, j)$ must contain the coefficient of the ‘westerly’ term involving $s_{i-1,j}$ in the (i, j) th equation of the system (2), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, N2$. The elements of B for $i = 1$ must be zero after incorporating the boundary conditions, since they involve nodal values from outside the rectangle.
- 6: C(N1M,N2) – **real** array *Input*
On entry: $C(i, j)$ must contain the coefficient of the ‘central’ term involving s_{ij} in the (i, j) th equation of the system (2), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, N2$. The elements of C are checked to ensure that they are non-zero. If any element is found to be zero, the corresponding algebraic equation is assumed to be $s_{ij} = r_{ij}$. This feature can be used to define the equations for nodes at which, for example, Dirichlet boundary conditions are applied, or for nodes external to the problem of interest, by setting $C(i, j) = 0.0$ at appropriate points. The corresponding value of $R(i, j)$ is set equal to the appropriate value, namely the difference between the prescribed value of t_{ij} and the current value of t_{ij} in the Dirichlet case, or zero at an external point.
- 7: D(N1M,N2) – **real** array *Input*
On entry: $D(i, j)$ must contain the coefficient of the ‘easterly’ term involving $s_{i+1,j}$ in the (i, j) th equation of the system (2), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, N2$. The elements of D for $i = N1$ must be zero after incorporating the boundary conditions, since they involve nodal values from outside the rectangle.
- 8: E(N1M,N2) – **real** array *Input*
On entry: $E(i, j)$ must contain the coefficient of the ‘northerly’ term involving $s_{i,j+1}$ in the (i, j) th equation of the system (2), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, N2$. The elements of E for $j = N2$ must be zero after incorporating the boundary conditions, since they involve nodal values from outside the rectangle.
- 9: APARAM – **real** *Input*
On entry: the iteration acceleration factor. A value of 1.0 is adequate for most typical problems. However, if convergence is slow, the value can be reduced, typically to 0.2 or 0.1. If divergence is obtained, the value can be increased, typically to 2.0, 5.0 or 10.0.
Constraint: $0.0 < \text{APARAM} \leq ((N1 - 1)^2 + (N2 - 1)^2)/2.0$.
- 10: IT – INTEGER *Input*
On entry: the iteration number. It must be initialised, but not necessarily to 1, before the first call, and must be incremented by one in the calling program for each subsequent call. The routine uses

the counter to select the appropriate acceleration parameter from a sequence of nine, each one being used twice in succession. (Note that the acceleration parameter depends on the value of APARAM.)

11: $R(N1M,N2)$ – *real* array *Input/Output*

On entry: $R(i,j)$ must contain the current residual r_{ij} on the right-hand side of the (i,j) th equation of the system (2), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, N2$.

On exit: these residuals are overwritten by the corresponding components of solution s to the system (2), i.e., the changes to be made to the vector t to reduce the residuals supplied.

12: $WRKSP1(N1M,N2)$ – *real* array *Workspace*

13: $WRKSP2(N1M,N2)$ – *real* array *Workspace*

14: IFAIL – INTEGER *Input/Output*

On entry: IFAIL must be set to 0, -1 or 1. Users who are unfamiliar with this parameter should refer to Chapter P01 for details.

On exit: IFAIL = 0 unless the routine detects an error (see Section 6).

For environments where it might be inappropriate to halt program execution when an error is detected, the value -1 or 1 is recommended. If the output of error messages is undesirable, then the value 1 is recommended. Otherwise, for users not familiar with this parameter the recommended value is 0. **When the value -1 or 1 is used it is essential to test the value of IFAIL on exit.**

6 Error Indicators and Warnings

If on entry IFAIL = 0 or -1, explanatory error messages are output on the current error message unit (as defined by X04AAF).

Errors or warnings detected by the routine:

IFAIL = 1

On entry, $N1 < 2$,
or $N2 < 2$.

IFAIL = 2

On entry, $N1M < N1$.

IFAIL = 3

On entry, $APARAM \leq 0.0$.

IFAIL = 4

On entry, $APARAM > ((N1 - 1)^2 + (N2 - 1)^2)/2.0$.

7 Accuracy

The improvement in accuracy for each iteration, i.e., on each call, depends on the size of the system and on the condition of the up-date matrix characterised by the five-diagonal coefficient arrays. The ultimate accuracy obtainable depends on the above factors and on the *machine precision*. However, since the routine works with residuals and the up-date vector, the calling program can, in most cases where at each iteration all the residuals are usually of about the same size, calculate the residuals from extended precision values of the function, source term and equation coefficients if greater accuracy is required. The rate of convergence obtained with the Strongly Implicit Procedure is not always smooth because of the cyclic use of nine acceleration parameters. The convergence may become slow with very large problems, for example $N1 = N2 = 60$. The final accuracy obtained can be judged approximately from the rate of convergence determined from the changes to the dependent variable T and in particular the change on the last iteration.

8 Further Comments

The time taken by the routine is approximately proportional to $N1 \times N2$ for each call.

When used with deferred or defect correction, the residual is calculated in the calling program from a different system of equations to those represented by the five-point molecule coefficients used by the routine as the basis of the iterative up-date procedure. When using deferred correction the overall rate of convergence depends not only on the items detailed in Section 7 but also on the difference between the two coefficient matrices used.

Convergence may not always be obtained when the problem is very large and/or the coefficients of the equations have widely disparate values. The latter case is often associated with a near ill-conditioned matrix.

9 Example

To solve Laplace's equation in a rectangle with a non-uniform grid spacing in the x and y co-ordinate directions and with Dirichlet boundary conditions specifying the function on the perimeter of the rectangle equal to $e^{(1.0+x)/y(n_2)} \times \cos(y/y(n_2))$.

9.1 Program Text

Note: the listing of the example program presented below uses **bold italicised** terms to denote precision-dependent details. Please read the Users' Note for your implementation to check the interpretation of these terms. As explained in the Essential Introduction to this manual, the results produced may not be identical for all implementations.

```
*      D03UAF Example Program Text
*      Mark 14 Revised.  NAG Copyright 1989.
*      .. Parameters ..
      INTEGER          N1, N2, N1M, NITS
      PARAMETER        (N1=6,N2=10,N1M=N1,NITS=10)
      INTEGER          NOUT
      PARAMETER        (NOUT=6)
*      .. Local Scalars ..
      real             ADEL, APARAM, ARES, DELMAX, DELMN, RESMAX, RESMN
      INTEGER          I, IFAIL, IT, J
*      .. Local Arrays ..
      real             A(N1M,N2), B(N1M,N2), C(N1M,N2), D(N1M,N2),
+                     E(N1M,N2), Q(N1M,N2), R(N1M,N2), T(N1M,N2),
+                     WRKSP1(N1M,N2), WRKSP2(N1M,N2), X(N1), Y(N2)
*      .. External Subroutines ..
      EXTERNAL         D03UAF
*      .. Intrinsic Functions ..
      INTRINSIC        ABS, COS, EXP, MAX, real
*      .. Data statements ..
      DATA            X(1), X(2), X(3), X(4), X(5), X(6)/0.0e0, 1.0e0,
+                     3.0e0, 6.0e0, 10.0e0, 15.0e0/
      DATA            Y(1), Y(2), Y(3), Y(4), Y(5), Y(6), Y(7), Y(8),
+                     Y(9), Y(10)/0.0e0, 1.0e0, 3.0e0, 6.0e0, 10.0e0,
+                     15.0e0, 21.0e0, 28.0e0, 36.0e0, 45.0e0/
*      .. Executable Statements ..
      WRITE (NOUT,*) 'D03UAF Example Program Results'
      WRITE (NOUT,*)
      APARAM = 1.0e0
*      Set up difference equation coefficients, source terms and
*      initial S
      DO 40 J = 1, N2
        DO 20 I = 1, N1
          IF ((I.NE.1) .AND. (I.NE.N1) .AND. (J.NE.1) .AND. (J.NE.N2))
+            THEN
*            Specification for internal nodes
            A(I,J) = 2.0e0/((Y(J)-Y(J-1))*(Y(J+1)-Y(J-1)))
            E(I,J) = 2.0e0/((Y(J+1)-Y(J))*(Y(J+1)-Y(J-1)))
            B(I,J) = 2.0e0/((X(I)-X(I-1))*(X(I+1)-X(I-1)))
            D(I,J) = 2.0e0/((X(I+1)-X(I))*(X(I+1)-X(I-1)))
            C(I,J) = -A(I,J) - B(I,J) - D(I,J) - E(I,J)
            Q(I,J) = 0.0e0
            T(I,J) = 0.0e0
          
```

```

      ELSE
*      Specification for boundary nodes
        A(I,J) = 0.0e0
        B(I,J) = 0.0e0
        C(I,J) = 0.0e0
        D(I,J) = 0.0e0
        E(I,J) = 0.0e0
        Q(I,J) = EXP((X(I)+1.0e0)/Y(N2))*COS(Y(J)/Y(N2))
        T(I,J) = 0.0e0
      END IF
20    CONTINUE
40    CONTINUE
*      Iterative loop
      WRITE (NOUT,*) 'Iteration      Residual      Change'
      WRITE (NOUT,*)
+    ' No      Max.      Mean      Max.      Mean'
      WRITE (NOUT,*)
      DO 140 IT = 1, NITS
*      Calculate the residuals
        RESMAX = 0.0e0
        RESMN = 0.0e0
        DO 80 J = 1, N2
          DO 60 I = 1, N1
            IF (C(I,J).NE.0.0e0) THEN
*              Five point molecule formula
              R(I,J) = Q(I,J) - A(I,J)*T(I,J-1) - B(I,J)*T(I-1,J) -
+                C(I,J)*T(I,J) - D(I,J)*T(I+1,J) - E(I,J)*T(I,
+                J+1)
            ELSE
*              Explicit equation
              R(I,J) = Q(I,J) - T(I,J)
            END IF
            ARES = ABS(R(I,J))
            RESMAX = MAX(RESMAX,ARES)
            RESMN = RESMN + ARES
          60    CONTINUE
        80    CONTINUE
        RESMN = RESMN/(real(N1*N2))
        IFAIL = 0
*
+      CALL D03UAF(N1,N2,N1M,A,B,C,D,E,APARAM,IT,R,WRKSP1,WRKSP2,
+        IFAIL)
*
*      Update the dependent variable
        DELMAX = 0.0e0
        DELMN = 0.0e0
        DO 120 J = 1, N2
          DO 100 I = 1, N1
            T(I,J) = T(I,J) + R(I,J)
            ADEL = ABS(R(I,J))
            DELMAX = MAX(DELMAX,ADEL)
            DELMN = DELMN + ADEL
          100    CONTINUE
        120    CONTINUE
        DELMN = DELMN/(real(N1*N2))
        WRITE (NOUT,99999) IT, RESMAX, RESMN, DELMAX, DELMN
*      Convergence tests here if required
140    CONTINUE
*      End of iterative loop
      WRITE (NOUT,*)
      WRITE (NOUT,*) 'Table of calculated function values'
      WRITE (NOUT,*)
      WRITE (NOUT,*)
+    ' I      1      2      3      4      5      6'
      WRITE (NOUT,*) ' J'
      DO 160 J = 1, N2
        WRITE (NOUT,99998) J, (T(I,J),I=1,N1)
      160    CONTINUE
      STOP
*
99999  FORMAT (1X,I3,4(2X,e11.4))

```

```
99998 FORMAT (1X,I2,1X,6(F9.3,2X))
      END
```

9.2 Program Data

None.

9.3 Program Results

D03UAF Example Program Results

Iteration No	Residual		Change	
	Max.	Mean	Max.	Mean
1	0.1427E+01	0.4790E+00	0.1427E+01	0.1031E+01
2	0.1098E-02	0.3871E-03	0.2176E-01	0.6158E-02
3	0.7364E-03	0.5926E-04	0.1621E-02	0.2475E-03
4	0.2036E-04	0.2914E-05	0.1810E-03	0.2259E-04
5	0.6946E-05	0.6214E-06	0.1199E-04	0.2347E-05
6	0.2267E-06	0.4215E-07	0.1245E-05	0.2270E-06
7	0.5625E-07	0.4500E-08	0.1081E-06	0.1761E-07
8	0.2305E-08	0.3998E-09	0.1289E-07	0.1794E-08
9	0.4733E-09	0.7397E-10	0.1422E-08	0.1841E-09
10	0.7109E-10	0.8598E-11	0.3214E-09	0.2791E-10

Table of calculated function values

I	1	2	3	4	5	6
J						
1	1.022	1.045	1.093	1.168	1.277	1.427
2	1.022	1.045	1.093	1.168	1.277	1.427
3	1.020	1.043	1.091	1.166	1.274	1.424
4	1.013	1.036	1.083	1.158	1.266	1.414
5	0.997	1.020	1.066	1.140	1.246	1.392
6	0.966	0.988	1.033	1.104	1.207	1.348
7	0.913	0.934	0.976	1.044	1.141	1.274
8	0.831	0.850	0.888	0.950	1.038	1.160
9	0.712	0.728	0.762	0.814	0.890	0.994
10	0.552	0.565	0.591	0.631	0.690	0.771
